



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,942	04/16/2001	Takashi Shoji	Q61182 5374		
7590 10/15/2003		EXAMINER			
SUGIIRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			HANNAHER, CONSTANTINE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878		
				DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		X1X				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	09/834,942	SHOJI, TAKASHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Constantine Hannaher	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cov r sh et with the c	orrespond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 S</u>	September 2003 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-13 and 16</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	reived.				
Attachment(s)	5 p. 1011. 3 and 5 5 5 10 10 10 33 120					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/834,942

Art Unit: 2878

Page: 2

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 2, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not describe *support* of the flat plate-shaped base plate 6 by any portion of the top region. Instead, placement of the flat plate-shaped base plate 6 into the fitting member 7 along its bottom region supports element 6 vertically.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the recording photo-conductive layer and the reading photo-conductive layer" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. No photo-conductive layers, regardless of type, are established by claims 1 or 13.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-6, 9-13, and 16 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: although it would not be a reasonable proposition that the imaging member of Fender *et al.* is supported against Earth's gravity above laser **5** and mirror **6** by free space, nevertheless, a "case housing" of the type recited in sole independent claim 1 is not shown; although it would not be credible that glass plate **21** of Tonami *et al.* is not "fixed" to the vacuum tube **11**, nevertheless, a "case housing" of the type recited is not suggested.

Response to Submission(s)

- 8. The amendment filed September 2, 2003 has been entered.
- 9. The advisory action mailed July 16, 2003 plainly states that the proposed amendment submitted July 2, 2003 will be entered "For purposes of Appeal...." Since there has not been an appeal under 37 CFR 1.191(a) of any rejection in this application, it is not clear on what basis applicant's representative should have formed the belief that the amendment to claim 9 had been entered. In view of the current treatment of amendments under 37 CFR 1.121 the issue is moot as the cancellation of claims 7 and 8 and the amendment of claim 9 is accomplished by the listing of claims submitted September 2, 2003.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Constantine Hannaher whose telephone number is (703) 308-4850. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with flexible hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ch

Constantine Hannahe
Primary Examiner